

HR Diagrams for Various Open Clusters

## Turn off point

- Where is the turn-off point located?
-Color/temperature
- Absolute/apparent magnitude/luminosity
- Direct correlation with the age
- Difficult to define for young star clusters
- First, classical method, just „to look" at color-magnitude-diagram

Mermilliod, 1981, A\&A, 97, 235: no newer paper available!



A correlation has been established between the mean absolute magnitude of the red giant concentrations and ages (Fig. 7). A straight line has been fitted by eye, which gives the following relation:

$$
\log t=0.280 M_{V}+8.610
$$

# No direct error estimation possible 

Possible to use for star clusters between 20 Myr and 800 Myr

Fig. 7. Relation between the mean absolute magnitude of the red giant concentrations and $\log t$. The darkened area at $M_{V}=+1$. indicates the position of the clump in old clusters.

Very precise method

Possible to use between for star clusters between 20 Myr and 300 Myr
$(U-B)_{0}$ for cooler stars = older ages is almost constant


Fig. 6. Calibration of the bluest $(U-B)_{o}$ on the main sequence in terms of age ( $\log t$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-.80 \leq(U-B)_{o}^{<-.35} & \log t=1.795(U-B)_{o}+8.785 \\
-.28 \leq(U-B)_{o}<.00 & \log t=0.813(U-B)_{o}+8.487
\end{array}
$$



Not very accurate but still useful, never done for 2MASS and NIR

## Calculation of Isochrones

The calculation of theoretical isochrone (= lines of equal age) is done with stellar atmospheres

Free parameter : Metallicity [X, Y, Z]

1. Zero Age Main Sequence $\left[T_{\text {eff }}, L\right]_{0}$
2. Chemical and gravitational evolution
3. $\left[T_{\text {eff }}, L\right](\mathrm{t})$
4. Adequate stellar atmosphere $=$ PHYSICS
5. Absolute fluxes
6. Folding with filter curves
7. Colors, absolute magnitudes and so on

## Which astrophysical "parameters" are important?

- Equations of state
- Opacities
- Model of convection
- Rotation
- Mass loss
- Magnetic field
- Core Overshooting
- Abundance of helium

Maeder \& Mermilliod, 1981, A\&A, 93, 136



## Different treatment of convection



## A comparison of isochrone sets

- Grocholski \& Sarajedini (2003, MNRAS, 345, 1015) compared the following isochrones: 1. "Padova": Girardi et al., 2002, A\&A, 391, 195 2.Baraffe: Baraffe et al., 1998, A\&A, 337, 403 3."Geneva": Lejeune \& Schaerer, 2001, A\&A, 366, 538 4. $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ : Yi et al., 2001, ApJS, 136, 417
5.Siess: Siess et al., 2000, A\&A, 358, 593

The location of the Sun with isochrones of 5 Gyr

Isochrones by Siess et al. (1997) seem "to have a problem"


Comparison of different masses for a constant $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}$

Zero line is the isochrone of the Padova group


Comparison of different color indices for a constant $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}$

Zero line is the isochrone of the Padova group


| Name | Available photometry | Log age | $E(B-V)$ | $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| M35 (NGC 2168) | $U B V R I J H K_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 8.17 | 0.19 | -0.160 |
| M37 (NGC 2099) | $\ldots B V \ldots J H K_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 8.73 | 0.27 | 0.089 |
| NGC 1817 | $\ldots B V R I J H K_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 8.80 | 0.26 | -0.268 |
| NGC 2477 | $U B V \ldots . . I H K_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 9.04 | 0.23 | 0.019 |
| NGC 2420 | $\ldots B V R I J H K_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 9.24 | 0.05 | -0.266 |
| M67 (NGC 2682) | $U B V R I J H K_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 9.60 | 0.04 | 0.000 |

Used Parameters from the literature
Photometry

| Cluster | Padova | Baraffe | Geneva | $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ | Siess | Twarog et al. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| M35 (NGC 2168) | 10.16 | 10.41 | 9.81 | 9.91 | 9.96 | 10.30 |
| M37 (NGC 2099) | 11.55 | 11.40 | 11.50 | 11.35 | 11.75 | 11.55 |
| NGC 1817 | 12.10 | 12.30 | 11.90 | 11.85 | 12.00 | 12.15 |
| NGC 2477 | 11.55 | 11.60 | 11.30 | 11.15 | 11.45 | 11.55 |
| NGC 2420 | 12.12 | 12.45 | 11.95 | 11.90 | 12.07 | 12.10 |
| M67 (NGC 2682) | 9.80 | 9.80 | 9.60 | 9.45 | 9.65 | 9.80 |
|  | log t, E(B-V) and [Fe/H] fixed, only |  |  |  | Value from the |  |
|  | Distance modulus determined | literature |  |  |  |  |


| Cluster | Padova | Baraffe | Geneva | $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ | Siess | Twarog et al. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M35 (NGC 2168) | 10.16 | 10.41 | 9.81 | 9.91 | 9.96 | 10.30 |
| M37 (NGC 2099) | 11.55 | 11.40 | 11.50 | 11.35 | 11.75 | 11.55 |
| NGC 1817 | 12.10 | 12.30 | 11.90 | 11.85 | 12.00 | 12.15 |
| NGC 2477 | 11.55 | 11.60 | 11.30 | 11.15 | 11.45 | 11.55 |
| NGC 2420 | 12.12 | 12.45 | 11.95 | 11.90 | 12.07 | 12.10 |
| M67 (NGC 2682) | 9.80 | 9.80 | 9.60 | 9.45 | 9.65 | 9.80 |

## Transformation in distances [pc]

- M35: 1148 [916,1208]; -20\% +5\%
- M37: 2042 [1905,2239]; -7\% +10\%
- NGC 1817: 2692 [2344,2884]; -13\% +7\%
- NGC 2477: 2042 [1698,2089]; -17\% +2\%
- NGC 2420: 2630 [2399,3090]; -9\% +17\%
- M67: 912 [776,912]; -15\% +0\%
- Mean values: -13(5)\% +7(6)\%, for one free parameter!

| Cluster | Padova | Baraffe | Geneva | $Y^{2}$ | Siess | Twarog et al. |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| M35 (NGC 2168) | 10.16 | 10.41 | 9.81 | 9.91 | 9.96 | 10.30 |
| M37 (NGC 2099) | 11.55 | 11.40 | 11.50 | 11.35 | 11.75 | 11.55 |
| NGC 1817 | 12.10 | 12.30 | 11.90 | 11.85 | 12.00 | 12.15 |
| NGC 2477 | 11.55 | 11.60 | 11.30 | 11.15 | 11.45 | 11.55 |
| NGC 2420 | 12.12 | 12.45 | 11.95 | 11.90 | 12.07 | 12.10 |
| M67 (NGC 2682) | 9.80 | 9.80 | 9.60 | 9.45 | 9.65 | 9.80 |

## In a statistical point-of-view: significant

For a given reddening, metallicity and age, the isochrones by Baraffe et al. yield significantly brighter and Yi et al. significantly fainter absolute magnitudes .

In addition, the isochrones by Siess et al. do not reproduce the location of the Sun correctly.



## Automatic Methods

Definition of different „important" areas (Box) in the CMD. Do this allocation as you like.

Turn-off point, location of the red giant clump, and so on.

Count the number of stars in each box.

Warning: you always "lose" stars because of discrete boxes.

Only for t > 300 Myr

## Other methods

- An et al., 2007, ApJ, 655, 233
- Buckner \& Froebrich, 2013, MNRAS, 436, 1465
- Fernandes et al., 2012, A\&A, 541, A95
- Frayn \& Gilmore, 2003, MNRAS, 339, 887
- Kharchenko et al., 2005, A\&A, 438, 1136
- Monteiro et al., 2010, A\&A, 516, A2
- Oliveira et al., 2013, A\&A, 557, A14
- Pinsonneault et al., 2003, ApJ, 598, 588

